

PROCESS FOR REMOVING SULPHUR DIOXIDE FROM A SULPHUR DIOXIDE-CONTAINING GAS STREAM

Patent Number:

Publication date:

1995-09-06

Inventor(s):

DE BOER MARK (NL); GEUS JOHN WLHELM (NL)

Applicant(s):

AKZO NOBEL NV (NL)

Requested Patent:

☐ EP0669854 (WO9411105)

Application

Number:

EP19940900156 19931118

Priority Number(s):

EP19940900156 19931118; WO1993EP03250 19931118; EP19920203539
19921118

IPC Classification:

B01J37/00 ; C01B17/16 ; B01D53/36 ; B01J23/85 ; B01J23/24

EC Classification:

Equivalents:

Abstract

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - I2

PCT


WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 : B01J 37/00, C01B 17/16 B01D 53/36, B01J 23/85, 23/24	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/11105 (43) International Publication Date: 26 May 1994 (26.05.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP93/03250 (22) International Filing Date: 18 November 1993 (18.11.93) (30) Priority data: 92203539.9 18 November 1992 (18.11.92) EP (34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: NL et al. (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): AKZO NOBEL N.V. [NL/NL]; Velperweg 76, NL-6824 BM Arnhem (NL). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DE BOER, Mark [NL/NL]; Furkabaan 651, NL-3524 ZE Utrecht (NL). GEUS, John, Wilhelm [NL/NL]; Gezichtslaan 100, NL-3723 GJ Bilthoven (NL).	(74) Agent: SCHALKWIJK, Pieter, Cornelis; Akzo N.V., Patent Department (Dept. APTA), P.O. Box 9300, NL-6800 SB Arnhem (NL). (81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published With international search report.	

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR REMOVING SULPHUR DIOXIDE FROM A SULPHUR DIOXIDE-CONTAINING GAS STREAM



(57) Abstract

The present invention is directed to a process for removing SO₂ from an SO₂-containing gas stream by catalytically reducing the SO₂ to elemental sulphur, in which process a gas stream comprising SO₂ and a reductor chosen from H₂, CO, and a mixture thereof, the molar ratio of the reductor to the SO₂ being between 0,1 and 10, is contacted, at a temperature in the range of 180° to 300 °C and a pressure of between 1 and 60 bar, with a sulphur resistant catalyst comprising at least one hydrogenation function. The catalyst preferably comprises a Group VIB metal component and/or a Group VIII metal component on a carrier. The process according to the invention can be used for removing SO₂ from SO₂-containing gas streams derived from various sources, int. al., from a modified SuperClaus unit. The process according to the invention permits the removal of SO₂ from an SO₂-containing gas stream in a simple manner with high SO₂ conversion and a high selectivity for elemental sulphur.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TC	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

PROCESS FOR REMOVING SULPHUR DIOXIDE FROM A SULPHUR DIOXIDE-CONTAINING GAS STREAM

5 The invention relates to a process for removing SO_2 from an SO_2 -containing gas stream by catalytically reducing the SO_2 to elemental sulphur.

10 SO_2 -containing gas streams are released, int. al., when burning fossil fuels and in all sorts of industrial processes. Now that the waste gases to be released into the atmosphere are subject to more and more stringent environmental demands, the removal of SO_2 from the gas streams released has become increasingly essential.

15 Up to now, this has often been done by contacting the SO_2 -containing gas stream with an SO_2 absorbent, such as CaO . The reaction between CaO and SO_2 causes calcium sulphate to form, which is dumped or otherwise processed. Alternatively, regeneratable absorbents for SO_2 are employed, e.g., supported CuO or CeO_2 . However, during their regeneration further SO_2 -containing gas streams are formed, for which some use has to be found. Thus, in the industry the SO_2 in the SO_2 -containing gas stream is converted into H_2S , after which the H_2S -containing gas stream is passed to a Claus process, where the H_2S is converted into elemental sulphur. This is a complicated way of removing SO_2 from an SO_2 -containing gas stream.

25 Consequently, there is need for a simple process for removing SO_2 from SO_2 -containing gas streams with direct formation of elemental sulphur, a product which has a wide range of applications.

30 * Such a process is proposed by Gangwal (Environmental Progress, Vol. 10, No. 3 (August 1991), 186-191) describing a process for recovering sulphur from SO_2 -containing gases in which gases are combined with a reducing gas, e.g., an H_2 - and/or CO -containing gas, and contacted with an unidentified catalyst at a temperature of 392-702°C and a

pressure of 1-40 bar. Only at a pressure higher than 20 bar and a temperature above 400°C are acceptable conversion percentages obtained. If H₂ is present, at such a temperature a significant amount of the formed elemental sulphur will be reduced further to H₂S. The low selectivity for elemental sulphur of the process described by Gangwal is also apparent from the fact that in order to increase the elemental sulphur yield, the SO₂ reduction is followed by a Claus process in which the formed H₂S is reacted with SO₂ to form elemental sulphur. Speaking from an economical point of view, the reaction conditions of the process according to Gangwal are unattractive.

Surprisingly, it has now been found possible to reduce SO₂ to elemental sulphur with high conversion and high selectivity under mild conditions, as a result of which SO₂ can efficiently be removed from an SO₂-containing gas stream, by contacting a gas stream containing SO₂ and a reductor selected from H₂, CO, and a mixture thereof and having a reductor:SO₂ molar ratio in the range of 0,1 to 10, at a temperature between 180° and 300°C, with a sulphur resistant catalyst comprising at least one hydrogenation function. The term sulphur resistant as used here indicates that the catalytic function of the catalyst is not deactivated (poisoned) by sulphur or sulphur-containing compounds.

The process according to the invention makes it possible to obtain high SO₂ conversion and a high selectivity for elemental sulphur under milder process conditions than with the process described by Gangwal.

Elemental sulphur takes many forms. The term elemental sulphur as used in this description above and below refers to all types of sulphur which, by and large, contain only sulphur as ingredient, irrespective of the specific form. Typical examples include S, S₂, and S₈. A detailed survey of the various types of elemental sulphur is given, int. al., in Meyer (Chemical Reviews, Vol. 76, No. 3 (1976), 367-388).


5 The SO₂-containing initial gas streams to be employed in the process according to the invention may be SO₂-containing gas streams obtained in the chemical or the thermal regeneration of SO₂ absorbents. Also, use may be made of SO₂-containing gas streams formed in the burning of fossil fuels, and of Claus reactor tailgases, which in addition to SO₂ often contain H₂S and elemental sulphur. It will be self-evident to the person skilled in the art which other SO₂-containing gas streams may be treated by the process according to the invention.

10 Since under the conditions mostly prevalent in the reactor oxygen reacts with hydrogen to form water, the SO₂-containing gas stream preferably contains as little oxygen as possible. The hydrogen intended to reduce the SO₂ is used up in the reaction with oxygen, which leads to a lower hydrogen yield of the process. The gases which
15 are released during the burning of fossil fuels often contain oxygen in addition to SO₂. Consequently, it is preferred to pass such gases over a regeneratable SO₂ absorbent, and to use the gas stream formed in the regeneration of the absorbent, which contains little if any oxygen, in the process according to the invention. Such a procedure
20 also allows the SO₂-containing gas stream to be concentrated.

Depending on the origins of the SO₂-containing gas stream, it may contain SO₃. Since SO₃ can react with water to form H₂SO₄, which is highly corrosive, it is preferred that the SO₂-containing gas stream
25 contain no, or only a minute amount of SO₃.

The reductor, which is selected from H₂, CO, and a mixture thereof, serves to reduce the SO₂. If H₂ is used as reductor, reaction (a) takes place:

30



(a)

In this way an effective reduction to elemental sulphur is obtained. When the temperature is too high, or the molar ratio between the reductor and the SO_2 is too high, there is increased risk of further reduction to H_2S according to (b):

5



If CO is used as reductor, reaction (c) takes place, also resulting in the production of elemental sulphur:

10



15

However, when CO is used, under certain conditions it may react with the formed elemental sulphur according to (d) to form COS, which, in its turn, under certain conditions may react with water according to (e) to form H_2S . It is known from the literature that reaction (e) is catalysed by basic hydroxyl groups, e.g., those present on alumina or titania.

20



25

When both H_2 and CO are present as reductors, and the gas stream also contains water, as is the case in coal gas mixtures, combinations of reactions (a)-(e) may take place, depending on the reaction conditions. In that case it is of importance to note that reactions (d) and (e) take place at a lower temperature than reaction (b).

30

This means that, if it is desired to produce as much elemental sulphur as possible and to avoid through-reaction of the elemental sulphur formed, the process should be carried out at a lower temperature when CO is used as the reductor than when use is made of H_2 . However, operating at a lower temperature means reduced conversion of SO_2 into elemental sulphur.

If it is desired to obtain as much elemental sulphur as possible with high SO₂ conversion, and the fewest possible other product components, the use of H₂ as reductor is preferred to that of CO. Preferably, at least 50 mole% of the reductor, more particularly at least 85 mole%, and most preferably at least 98 mole%, will then be made up of H₂.

If, on the other hand, it is desired to obtain as much H₂S as possible at a low temperature by using the process according to the invention, as much CO as possible would be employed as reductor. In that case, in the presence of water and a suitable catalyst, H₂S is formed in a simple manner by reactions (d) and (e).

While the addition of extra reductor is not necessary if the SO₂-containing gas stream contains a sufficient quantity of reductor in itself, in actual practice, however, it tends to be necessary to add at least part of the total amount of reductor needed. In such a situation it is preferred to add only hydrogen as reductor, at least if the desired end product is elemental sulphur.

Of course, it will be obvious to a person skilled in the art that, in the presence of CO₂ and water, the CO and H₂ concentrations of the SO₂-containing gas stream led to the reduction reactor are interrelated through the CO shift reaction, so that if one compound is present as reductor, the other will be formed in situ, at least in small amounts, all the more since the CO shift reaction is catalysed by the catalyst present in the reduction reactor.

The molar ratio of the amount of reductor present in the gas stream to the amount of SO₂ should be in the range of 0,1 to 10. If there is too much reductor, the through-reduction of the formed elemental sulphur is favoured over the selective reduction to elemental sulphur; in the case of a less than stoichiometric amount of reductor, the reduction process ceases after the available amount of reductor has been used up

and SO₂ will be left in the gas stream. The reductor:SO₂ molar ratio preferably is in the range of 0,5 to 8, more particularly in the range of 1 to 4, most preferably in the range of 1,5 to 2,5.

5 The amount of SO₂ present in the SO₂-containing gas stream may be very small from a technical point of view. The SO₂ content of the SO₂-containing gas stream will generally be in the range of 50 ppm to 50%, more particularly in the range of 1 to 25%.

10 The process according to the invention is carried out at a temperature in the range of 180° to 300°C. Below 180°C, the formed sulphur will condense in the catalyst bed. Above 300°C, there is increased risk of further reaction of the elemental sulphur to form H₂S. The temperature preferably is in the range of 200° to 260°C. It will be obvious to the skilled person that higher temperatures will give a higher SO₂
15 conversion than lower ones, while the selectivity for elemental sulphur will be higher at lower temperatures than at higher ones. Furthermore, optimum temperatures in specific cases will depend on other factors, such as the nature of the reductor, the pressure, the
20 nature of the catalyst, the molar ratio of the amount of SO₂ to the reductor, etc. Considering the above factors, the artisan will know which temperature to select to attain optimum results in his particular case.

25 The pressure used in the process according to the invention is not critical. Generally, a pressure in the range of 1 to 60 bar is employed. Operating at subatmospheric pressure is not advisable from a technical standpoint; operating at a pressure in excess of 60 bar is expensive and does not carry any technical advantages. In general, it
30 is preferred to carry out the reaction at slightly elevated pressure, e.g., at a pressure above 3 bar. Higher pressures, say, in the range of 15 to 25 bar, may be attractive if the operation is performed at high LHSV.

The LHSV used is not critical to the process according to the invention. Generally, the LHSV will be in the range of 100 to 15 000 h⁻¹. The lower the LHSV, the higher the attained conversion will be. The optimum LHSV in a given case will depend on, int. al., the concentration of the reaction components, the pressure in the reactor, and the catalyst's activity. The skilled person can easily determine the optimum LHSV.

The catalyst used in the process according to the invention is a sulphur resistant catalyst having at least one hydrogenation function. Generally, such a catalyst will be made up of at least one hydrogenation metal component on a carrier. Use may be made in this case of metals of Groups VIB and VIII of the Periodic System. As Group VIB metals may be mentioned molybdenum and tungsten, Group VIII metals include the non-noble metals nickel and cobalt as well as the noble metals platinum and palladium. Preferably, use is made of at least one Group VIB metal and/or at least one non-noble Group VIII metal. Especially preferred in this connection is a combination of nickel and/or cobalt and molybdenum and/or tungsten. The catalyst usually has a metal content in the range of 0,1 to 50 wt.%, calculated on the overall weight of the catalyst. If the catalyst contains a Group VIB metal and a non-noble Group VIII metal, the Group VIB and Group VIII metals will frequently be present in amounts of 5-25 wt.% and 1-7 wt.%, respectively, calculated as trioxide and monoxide, respectively, the two amounts being calculated on the overall weight of the catalyst. If the catalyst contains a Group VIII noble metal, the amount thereof will commonly be less than 5 wt.%, calculated as metal on the overall weight of the catalyst. If so desired, the catalyst may also contain other components, such as phosphorus, halogens, and borium, but this is not essential to the process according to the invention.

The catalyst carrier may be composed of the conventional oxides, e.g., alumina, silica, silica-alumina, alumina with silica-alumina dispersed therein, silica-coated alumina, magnesia, zirconia, boria, and titania, as well as mixtures of these oxides. As a rule, preference is given to the carrier being of alumina, silica-alumina, alumina with silica-alumina dispersed therein, or silica-coated alumina. Special preference is given to alumina and alumina containing up to 10 wt.% of silica, with gamma-alumina being most particularly preferred.

The catalyst's pore volume (measured via mercury penetration) is not critical to the process according to the invention and will generally be in the range of 0,5 to 1 ml/g. The specific surface area is not critical to the process according to the invention either and will generally be in the range of 50 to 400 m²/g (measured using the BET method). The catalyst particles may have the shapes and dimensions common to the art. Thus, the particles may be spherical, cylindrical, or polylobal and their diameter may range from 1 to 10 mm.

The catalysts employed in the process according to the invention are well-known in the art, especially in the field of so-called hydrotreating/hydroprocessing catalysts, and are described in, int. al., US 4 738 767, US 4 062, 809, US 4 500 424, GB 1 504 586, US 4 212 729, US 4 326 995, US 4 051 021, US 4 066 574, EP-A 0 469 675.

The catalysts suitable for use in the process according to the invention may be obtained, e.g., as follows. A carrier precursor is prepared, e.g., in the case of alumina, in the form of an alumina hydrogel (boehmite). It is dried, e.g., by means of spray-drying, whereupon the spray-dried particles are extruded and the extrudates calcined at a temperature in the range of 500° to 850°C, resulting, in the case of alumina, in a carrier of γ -alumina being obtained. The carrier is then impregnated in one or more steps with a solution

5 containing precursors of the metal component(s). In the case of Group VIB metals and the non-noble metals of Group VIII, the precursors may be ammonium molybdate, ammonium tungstenate, cobalt nitrate and/or nickel nitrate. To apply the noble metals of Group VIII the carrier may be impregnated with a solution containing H_2PtCl_6 or an ammonium salt of the noble metal to be applied. After an optional drying step the material is calcined. The skilled person is fully cognisant of how this scheme may be varied.

10 After calcination, the Group VIB metals and/or the non-noble metals of Group VIII are present in the form of their oxides. In order to be able to reduce SO_2 to elemental sulphur, these oxides must be converted into sulphides. To this end the catalyst is contacted with inorganic or organic sulphur compounds, e.g., as described in EP 0 460 300 A1. The catalyst may be presulphided outside the
15 reduction reactor and activated inside it, or be sulphided in the reduction reactor itself, e.g., by passing a gas stream containing H_2 and H_2S through the reactor. All of this will be known to the skilled person as catalyst sulphiding or presulphiding.

20 When noble metals are employed, the oxides formed after calcining must be reduced to form metals in the metallic form. In general, catalysts containing noble metals as active components are not presulphided. The preparation of catalysts containing noble metals as active components is known to the skilled person.
25

The process according to the invention may be carried out as follows. Hydrogen is added to an SO_2 -containing gas stream in such an amount as to give a molar ratio of the overall amount of reductor to SO_2 in the
30 SO_2 -containing gas stream of between 0,1 and 10. As has been stated hereinbefore, the reductor present in the SO_2 -containing gas stream preferably consists largely or entirely of hydrogen. The gas stream comprising SO_2 and reductor in the indicated ratio is fed to a

reactor containing the catalyst. In the reactor, the reductor reacts with SO_2 to form elemental sulphur and water. The elemental sulphur is removed from the gas stream leaving the reactor, for example, in a sulphur condensor kept at a temperature below the condensation point of sulphur, 180°C . Alternatively, the elemental sulphur may be removed from the gas stream by, e.g., capillary condensation and absorption in, say, active carbon or alumina. The technology of removing elemental sulphur from gas streams is well-known to the person skilled in the art.

After as much elemental sulphur as possible has been removed from the gas stream, the water present in the gas stream may be removed, for example, in a water condensor. Depending on its constituents, the remaining gas stream is processed further. If the resulting gas stream does not contain any H_2S , SO_2 , or elemental sulphur - the ideal situation - it can be evacuated into the atmosphere. Minute amounts of residual SO_2 may be evacuated into the atmosphere or can be removed from the gas by means of an absorbent, e.g., CaO in the presence of oxygen, or a regeneratable absorbent like CuO or CeO_2 on a carrier. If the remaining gas stream comprises substantial amounts of SO_2 , it may be returned to the reduction reactor, where, after the addition of reductor, the SO_2 can be converted into elemental sulphur. If so desired, it is possible to incorporate a concentration step before the SO_2 -containing gas stream is returned to the reduction reactor. If, either originally or as a result of the presence of CO , the remaining gas stream comprises a relatively large amount of H_2S and, optionally, COS , it may be fed to a Claus process, a SCOT process, or a BSR process, all of which are known to the person skilled in the art.

The process according to the invention may be used for recovering sulphur from gas streams comprising smaller or larger amounts of H_2S , for example, gas streams resulting from coal gasification, hydrodesulphurisation of hydrocarbon feeds, or reforming residual

feeds. The procedure in these cases is to pass such gas streams over an absorbent for H_2S , e.g., iron oxide, optionally on a carrier. Once the absorbent has absorbed a certain amount of H_2S , it is regenerated by being contacted with an oxygen-containing gas, which removes the H_2S from the absorbent in the form of SO_2 . By means of this concentration step a gas stream containing a small amount of H_2S can be converted into a gas stream comprising a reasonable amount of SO_2 . The resulting SO_2 -containing gas stream can be passed to the reduction reactor, where the process according to the invention takes place.

Incorporating an absorbent for H_2S into the process according to the invention, as described above, also makes it possible to process gas streams comprising SO_2 , H_2S , and, optionally, elemental sulphur. A gas stream comprising H_2S , SO_2 , and, optionally, elemental sulphur, e.g., the tailgas of a Claus process, is passed to the absorbent described above. Here, the H_2S is absorbed while the SO_2 , with the remaining gas stream, is passed to the reduction reactor, where the process according to the invention is carried out. Next, the absorbent is regenerated, and the resulting SO_2 -containing gas stream is passed to the reduction reactor. In this way gas streams comprising different inorganic sulphur compounds, e.g., the tailgas of a Claus process, may be desulphurized in a simple manner while recovering elemental sulphur.

An interesting application of the process according to the invention takes the form of an attractive alternative to the regular SuperClaus unit. As described by, int. al., Goar et al. (Sulphur No. 220, May-June 1992, 44-46), in a regular SuperClaus unit the tailgas of the Claus process, which comprises H_2S and, generally, also SO_2 , after being mixed with oxygen is contacted with a catalyst which converts H_2S to elemental sulphur. The alternative to the regular SuperClaus comprises a modified SuperClaus unit operated in such a manner that all H_2S is converted into SO_2 , e.g., by adding extra oxygen, working

at a higher temperature, or using another catalyst. In this way an SO₂-containing gas stream is obtained from which elemental sulphur can be produced using the process according to the invention. Because of the efficiency of the process according to the invention it is possible to obtain a very high yield of elemental sulphur in this way. Depending on the nature of its constituents, the gas stream leaving the reduction reactor incorporated behind a modified SuperClaus unit as described above may be processed further. If the gas stream comprises SO₂, this will generally be present in a concentration sufficiently low for the gas stream to be evacuated into the atmosphere. If the gas stream comprises H₂S, it may be fed to a SCOT unit or a BSR unit.

In comparison, the gas stream leaving a regular SuperClaus unit will sometimes contain SO₂ in a concentration too high to be evacuated into the atmosphere. In such cases the SO₂-containing gas stream may be passed to a reduction reactor for converting the SO₂ to H₂S, after which the resulting H₂S-containing gas stream will be led to a SCOT or a BSR unit. The advantage of the combination of a reduction reactor operated according to the process of the invention with a modified SuperClaus unit over a regular SuperClaus unit consists in that the H₂S-containing gas stream that may result from the reduction reactor operated according to the invention can be passed directly to a SCOT or a BSR unit, without any extra reduction step being necessary.

Alternatively, the process according to the invention may be employed to carry out a selective reduction downstream of a regular Claus unit, before the gas leaving the Claus unit is passed to the sulphur condensor. The gas leaving a Claus unit will comprise H₂S and SO₂ in a molar ratio of 2:1. When this gas is fed to a reduction reactor in which the process according to the invention is carried out, the SO₂ is converted into elemental sulphur. After condensation of the elemental sulphur in the sulphur condensor, the remaining H₂S-containing gas may be passed directly to a regular SuperClaus

unit, where the H_2S is selectively reduced to elemental sulphur. Because the process according to the invention provides an extra production step for elemental sulphur, the overall sulphur recovery of the complete process is enhanced.

5

The invention is illustrated by the following example.

Example

10

The apparatus:

15

The apparatus for measuring the sulphur recovery from SO_2 consisted of gas dosing equipment, a quartz reactor, and on-line gas analysis. The pure gases (purity SO_2 : 99,6%, other gases: 99,999%) were dosed by highly accurate mass flow controllers to make a mixture of $SO_2/H_2/Ar$ (3,0/10,0/87,0, v/v/v). The overall flow rate amounted to 100 ml/min. The catalyst was mounted in a quartz reactor (internal diameter: 8 mm). Quartz lumps were mounted on top of the catalyst bed. The volume of the catalyst bed (undiluted) was about 0,5 ml, giving an LHSV of 12 000 hr^{-1} . The gas flow through the reactor was downstream. The reactor was placed in an oven the temperature of which was controlled by an electronic controller capable of executing temperature programs. The actual temperature in the catalyst bed was measured by means of a thermocouple in the bed.

20

25

The effluent gas stream was analysed using flowthrough quartz cuvettes (UV-detection) and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Both detectors (UV and TCD) measured the difference signal ahead of and behind the reactor. The SO_2 - and H_2S -concentrations were measured by means of the UV-absorption at two different wavelengths; the H_2 -concentration could be determined by the TCD.

30

In a typical sulphur recovery experiment the temperature of the reactor was increased in a linear temperature programme (2 °C/min), with the LHSV remaining constant. The concentration of the gases (i.e., the conversion of H_2 and SO_2 , and the formation of H_2S) was measured at

30-second intervals. The elemental sulphur formed in the reaction was condensed behind the reactor.

The catalyst:

5 The catalyst used in this experiment was a commercially available CoMo/Al₂O₃ hydrotreating grade: KF-124 (ex Akzo Chemicals). Prior to the reaction the extrudates were crushed and sieved (fraction 0.400-0.625 mm). The catalyst was sulphided in situ in a gas stream of H₂S, H₂, and Ar (10 vol.% H₂S, 40 vol.% H₂, bal. Ar). The temperature was raised from ambient to 400°C (rate 5°C/min), and kept at 400°C for 10 30 minutes. Subsequently, the temperature was lowered (rate 10°C/min) to ambient in the same gas flow.

The results:

15 The results of the experiment are given in Figure 1, in which the conversion of SO₂ and the formation of H₂S are plotted as a function of the catalyst temperature. The yield of elemental sulphur is indicated in the figure and is equal to the difference between the amount of SO₂ converted and the amount of H₂S formed. Under the 20 conditions of the experiment, this yield reaches a maximum at about 260°C. At the low temperature side the SO₂ conversion is low, whereas at the high temperature side the selectivity to elemental sulphur decreases because of the formation of H₂S. Under these conditions (LHSV = 12 000 hr, H₂/SO₂ = 3,33, pressure = 1 bar) the yield of 25 sulphur amounts to 90%. The yield can be enhanced by adjustment of the H₂/SO₂ ratio, the LHSV, and the pressure.


Claims:

1. A process for removing SO₂ from an SO₂-containing gas stream by catalytically reducing the SO₂ to elemental sulphur, characterised in that a gas stream comprising SO₂ and a reductor chosen from H₂, CO, and a mixture thereof, in which gas stream the molar ratio of the reductor to the SO₂ is between 0,1 and 10, is contacted, at a temperature in the range of 180° to 300°C and a pressure of between 1 and 60 bar, with a sulphur resistant catalyst comprising at least one hydrogenation function.
2. A process according to claim 1, characterised in that the temperature is in the range of 200° to 260°C.
3. A process according to claim 1 or 2, characterised in that at least 50 mole% of the reductor is made up of H₂.
4. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the molar ratio of the reductor to the SO₂ is between 1,5 and 2,5.
5. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the catalyst comprises a Group VIB metal component and/or a Group VIII metal component on a carrier.
6. A process according to claim 5, characterised in that the Group VIB metal is chosen from molybdenum and tungsten and/or the Group VIII metal is chosen from nickel and cobalt.
7. A process according to claim 5 or 6, characterised in that the catalyst carrier is alumina, silica-alumina, or alumina with silica-alumina dispersed therein.

8. A process according to claim 7, characterised in that the catalyst carrier is gamma-alumina.
- 5 9. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the SO₂-containing gas stream is obtained by feeding an H₂S-containing gas stream to an absorbent and regenerating the absorbent by contacting it with an oxygen-containing gas to release SO₂ from the absorbent.
- 10 10. A process according to any one of claims 1-8, characterised in that the SO₂-containing gas stream is released from a modified SuperClaus unit operated in such a manner that the H₂S fed to the unit is substantially converted into SO₂.
- 15 11. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the gas stream leaving the reduction reactor, which comprises elemental sulphur, water, and SO₂, is freed from elemental sulphur, after which the remaining gas stream is freed from water and the remaining SO₂-containing gas stream is recycled to the reduction reactor, optionally after a concentration step.
- 20 12. A process according to any one of claims 1-10, characterised in that the gas stream leaving the reduction reactor, which comprises elemental sulphur and water, is freed from elemental sulphur, after which the remaining gas stream is evacuated into the atmosphere.
- 25
- 30

1/1

FIG. 1



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP 93/03250

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 5 B01J37/00 C01B17/16 B01D53/36 B01J23/85 B01J23/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 5 B01J C01B B01D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US,A,3 956 105 (J. E. CONWAY) 11 May 1976 see claim 1; example 5 see column 1, line 12 - line 28 see column 6, line 21 - line 30 ---	
A	NL,A,7 317 081 (SHELL) 18 June 1974 cited in the application see page 1, line 4 - line 14 & DE,A,23 62 065 (SHELL) ---	
A	EP,A,0 196 768 (CORNING GLASS WORK) 8 October 1986 see claim 1 see page 8, line 3 - line 9 ---	
A	US,A,5 110 783 (H. YAMAGUCHI) 5 May 1992 see claim 1 see column 3, line 36 - line 42 ---	
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

4 February 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

22.02.94

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Thion, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP 93/03250

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP,A,0 497 436 (ENGELHARD CORPORATION) 5 August 1992 -----	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03250

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
US-A-3956105	11-05-76	US-A-	3935127	27-01-76
		AU-A-	8226275	23-12-76
		BE-A-	830652	16-10-75
		DE-A, C	2527713	15-01-76
		FR-A, B	2276875	30-01-76
		NL-A-	7507497	05-01-76
		AT-B-	343609	12-06-78
		CA-A-	1054130	08-05-79
		GB-A-	1502915	08-03-78
		JP-C-	928780	13-10-78
		JP-A-	51024593	27-02-76
		JP-B-	53006113	04-03-78
		SE-A-	7507459	02-01-76

NL-A-7317081	18-06-74	GB-A-	1409436	08-10-75
		AT-B-	330206	25-06-76
		AU-A-	6358073	19-06-75
		BE-A-	808279	06-06-74
		CA-A-	1000032	23-11-76
		CH-A-	604853	15-09-78
		DE-A-	2362065	27-06-74
		FR-A, B	2327192	06-05-77
		JP-C-	1199675	05-04-84
		JP-A-	49090271	28-08-74
		JP-B-	58025491	27-05-83
		SE-B-	396587	26-09-77
		SU-A-	959618	15-09-82
		US-A-	3947547	30-03-76
		AT-A, B	308768	15-06-73
		AU-B-	459768	10-04-75
		AU-A-	3128371	18-01-73
		BE-A-	770058	17-01-72
		BE-A-	811284	20-08-74
		CA-A-	947473	21-05-74
		CH-A-	580982	29-10-76
		DE-A, C	2135522	20-01-72
		DE-A-	2409378	05-09-74
		FR-A-	2101724	31-03-72
		FR-A, B	2241332	21-03-75
		GB-A-	1461070	13-01-77

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03250

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
NL-A-7317081		GB-A- 1356289	12-06-74
		NL-A- 7010604	19-01-72
		NL-A- 7402626	03-09-74

DE-A-2362065	27-06-74	GB-A- 1409436	08-10-75
		AT-B- 330206	25-06-76
		AU-A- 6358073	19-06-75
		BE-A- 808279	06-06-74
		CA-A- 1000032	23-11-76
		CH-A- 604853	15-09-78
		FR-A, B 2327192	06-05-77
		JP-C- 1199675	05-04-84
		JP-A- 49090271	28-08-74
		JP-B- 58025491	27-05-83
		NL-A- 7317081	18-06-74
		SE-B- 396587	26-09-77
		SU-A- 959618	15-09-82
		US-A- 3947547	30-03-76
		AT-A, B 308768	15-06-73
		AU-B- 459768	10-04-75
		AU-A- 3128371	18-01-73
		BE-A- 770058	17-01-72
		BE-A- 811284	20-08-74
		CA-A- 947473	21-05-74
		CH-A- 580982	29-10-76
		DE-A, C 2135522	20-01-72
		DE-A- 2409378	05-09-74
		FR-A- 2101724	31-03-72
		FR-A, B 2241332	21-03-75
		GB-A- 1461070	13-01-77
		GB-A- 1356289	12-06-74
		NL-A- 7010604	19-01-72
		NL-A- 7402626	03-09-74

EP-A-0196768	08-10-86	US-A- 4637995	20-01-87
		AU-B- 593790	22-02-90
		AU-A- 5463486	25-09-86
		CA-A- 1256849	04-07-89
		DE-A- 3683179	13-02-92
		JP-A- 61212331	20-09-86

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03250

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-5110783	05-05-92	JP-A- 4027436	30-01-92
EP-A-0497436	05-08-92	US-A- 4900711	13-02-90
		US-A- 4957895	18-09-90
		EP-A, B 0335583	04-10-89
		EP-A- 0497435	05-08-92
		JP-A- 1310743	14-12-89
		US-A- 5035793	30-07-91